Is HCV core antigen a reliable marker of viral load?  
An evaluation of HCV core antigen automated immunoassay
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Abstract  
Background Hepatitis C viral (HCV) load detection and quantification is routinely accomplished by HCV RNA measurement, an expensive but essential test, both for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic hepatitis C (CHC). HCV core antigen (Ag) testing has been suggested as an attractive alternative to molecular diagnostics. The aim of the study was to evaluate an automated chemiluminescent immunoassay (CLIA) for HCV core Ag measurement in comparison to quantitative HCV RNA determination.

Methods HCV Ag was measured in 105 anti-HCV positive patients, from which 89 were HCV RNA positive with CHC and 16 HCV RNA negative after spontaneous HCV clearance. Viral load was quantified with branched DNA (bDNA, Versant, Siemens). Sera were stored at -70°C and then tested with the Architect HCV Ag test (Abbott Laboratories), a two-step CLIA assay, with high throughput and minimal handling of the specimens. Statistical analysis was performed on logarithmically transformed values.

Results HCV-Ag was detectable and quantifiable in 83/89 and in grey zone in 4/89 HCV RNA positive sera. HCV-Ag was undetectable in all 16 HCV RNA negative samples. The sample with the lowest viral load that tested positive for HCV-Ag contained 1200 IU/mL HCV RNA. There was a positive correlation between HCV RNA and HCV-Ag (r=0.89). The HCV RNA/HCV Ag ratio varied from 1.5 to 3.25.

Conclusion The HCV core Ag is an easy test with comparable sensitivity (>90%) and satisfactory correlation with the HCV RNA bDNA assay. Its role in diagnostics and other clinical applications has to be determined based on cost effectiveness.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is detected in serum or plasma of chronically infected patients by molecular methods that specifically measure HCV RNA. HCV viral load is routinely quantified by target amplification with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or signal amplification with branched DNA (bDNA) assays [1].

In view of the fact that HCV RNA quantification is a rather expensive test, that requires technical skills and separate facilities, there have been efforts to establish different accurate, sensitive and cheaper methods for HCV viral load determination. Quantification of HCV core antigen (Ag), as being part of the viral particle, has been suggested as a possible alternative to HCV RNA measurements. In previous years, manual HCV-Ag ELISA tests were available, but they demonstrated significant limitations, mainly in terms of sensitivity [2].

An HCV core Ag automated chemiluminescent immunoassay (CLIA) has been recently developed for the Architect analyzer (Abbott Diagnostics, Wiesbaden, Germany), with enhanced sensitivity and minimal handling of the specimens [3].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the results of the automated HCV-Ag assay compared to quantitative HCV RNA determinations in clinical specimens.

Materials and methods

Materials

Sera from 105 anti-HCV positive patients were included
for this study. Among those, 89 were obtained from patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) and detectable HCV RNA (36% HCV genotype 1, 6% genotype 2, 37% genotype 3 and 21% genotype 4) and 16 from anti-HCV positive patients with previously diagnosed acute infection and spontaneous HCV RNA clearance. All sera were tested for HCV RNA with a sensitive PCR assay, the Cobas Amplicor v2.0 test HCV RNA PCR (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Pleasanton, CA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations [4].

In HCV RNA positive sera, viral load was quantified by bDNA (Versant 2.0; Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL), an HCV RNA signal amplification method, with detection limit of 615 IU/mL (2.79 log_{10} IU/mL) [5-7].

**HCV Ag detection and quantification**

Sera, stored at -70°C, were thawed once and tested with the Architect HCV-Ag assay. Samples were processed automatically, first treated on-board to dissociate antigen-antibody complexes and release the antigen from the viral particles. HCV core Ag is detected with a cut-off value of 3.0 fmol/L, a grey zone of 3-11 fmol/L and an upper limit of 180,000 fmol/L (1.0 fmol/L of HCV Ag equals to 0.02 pg/mL) [3,8].

**Statistical analysis**

The correlation coefficients between HCV-Ag and HCV RNA were calculated by Spearman’s rank test and a comparison between the groups was carried out using the Mann-Whitney U test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

In HCV RNA positive sera, viral load ranged between 3.06 and 6.9 log_{10} IU/mL (median 5.48). HCV Ag was undetectable in 2/89 samples (2.25%) with HCV RNA values of 1159 and 1947 IU/mL (3.06 and 3.29 log_{10} IU/mL). Four samples (4.49%) were found in the HCV Ag grey zone, with values between 7 and 10.6 fmol/L (median 8.72) or in log_{10} 0.84 log_{10} and 1.02 log_{10} fmol/L (median 0.94). Their respective HCV RNA levels were between 3.07 and 4.9 log_{10} IU/mL (median 3.39). HCV-Ag was clearly positive and quantifiable in 83 of the 89 HCV RNA positive sera (93.26%) with HCV RNA levels between 3.12 and 6.87 log_{10} IU/mL (median 5.55). In those samples, positive for both parameters, HCV Ag was found between 1.10 and 4.27 log_{10} fmol/L (median 3.15) (Table 1). The correlation coefficient (r) of the logarithmic values of HCV RNA and HCV-Ag, in these cases, was 0.89 (y=0.8465x-1.5343) and it was statistically significant (P<0.001) (Fig. 1). The HCV RNA/HCV Ag ratio ranged from 1.5 to 3.25 (median 1.72). The genotype of HCV did not affect HCV Ag detection and did not correlate with HCV RNA/HCV Ag ratios.

The 16 samples with undetectable HCV RNA also tested negative (100%) by the HCV-Ag assay.

**Discussion**

Since 1999, an ELISA assay has been introduced for serum HCV-Ag detection and quantification. This method showed marked differences in HCV RNA/core Ag ratios and did not demonstrate the required sensitivity in order to be clinically applied as a substitute for HCV RNA measurements that had become simpler and widely used [2,9-13]. Moreover, HCV-Ag detection has been incorporated in some EIA assays with concomitant anti-HCV antibody detection. This combined type of screening, on one hand leads to a shorter window phase for the detection of HCV, but on the other hand demonstrates lower sensitivity and specificity compared to traditional assays, when HCV RNA is used as a reference [14-17].

The employment of chemiluminescent magnetic particle-based technology and immune complex-dissociating reaction

**Table 1** Qualitative and quantitative results of hepatitis C virus (HCV) antigen (Ag) in HCV RNA-positive sera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCV Ag</th>
<th>HCV RNA (+) Samples</th>
<th>HCV Ag values (fmol/L) Median (range)</th>
<th>HCV RNA values (IU/mL) Median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.15 log_{10} (1.10 - 4.27 log_{10})</td>
<td>5.55 log_{10} (3.12 - 6.87 log_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey zone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.94 log_{10} (0.84 - 1.02 log_{10})</td>
<td>3.39 log_{10} (3.07 - 4.9 log_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.175 log_{10} (3.06 - 3.29 log_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.13 log_{10} (0 - 4.27 log_{10})</td>
<td>5.48 log_{10} (3.06 - 6.87 log_{10})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HCV Ag results significantly correlated with HCV RNA measurements by bDNA with a correlation coefficient of 0.89. Higher correlation was reported in a previous study using a more sensitive Cobas-TaqMan RT-PCR assay (r=0.95), but this was achieved with the inclusion of negative results in the calculations. Among 282 samples, 108 were HCV RNA negative and nonreactive for HCV Ag, also demonstrating the observed high specificity of the assay [8]. On the other hand, in a different study [19] the correlation coefficient between HCV-Ag and bDNA was 0.713 and between HCV-Ag and two RT-PCR methods was 0.743 and 0.87, respectively. The latter results were based on calculations with absolute and not logarithmically transformed values. We used logarithmic transformation of HCV RNA values that is common practice in the clinical assessment of chronic hepatitis. Nonetheless, the correlation of HCV Ag with RNA values obtained by RT PCR appears to be slightly higher than that of bDNA. This was also observed in our laboratory in a small number of samples (N=21) that were tested for HCV RNA with an in house RT-PCR. In this series the correlation of HCV RNA with HCV Ag was slightly higher, with a correlation coefficient of 0.93 (data not shown) compared to 0.89.

Additionally, our quantitative results yielded an HCV RNA/HCV Ag ratio that varied from one patient to another from 1.5 to 3.25. This finding is considered to be due to the presence of HCV-Ag not only in complete but also in incomplete viral particles and protein structures as has been previously pointed out [2,20,21].

The use of sensitive assays for HCV viral load determination is widely recognized. The assay for HCV-Ag detection by Architect demonstrates high correlation with HCV RNA and improved sensitivity in relation to previous versions of the test. Despite the improvement in sensitivity, there are still obvious limitations of HCV Ag compared to modern molecular methods that can detect as little as 10-15 IU/mL [22]. However, the high specificity and the simplicity of the test, the rapid turnaround time of the results and its lower price suggest that it could be cost effectively applied in clinical practice. It was demonstrated, in consequence of the high specificity, that HCV Ag positivity is clear proof of viremia and active HCV infection. Therefore, in screening scenarios, only patients with HCV Ag negative results would have to be evaluated for HCV viral load with more sensitive and expensive molecular methods, like RT-PCR, which are definitely essential for the monitoring of treatment. In positive HCV Ag cases, absolute HCV Ag levels need to be carefully interpreted on an individual basis and at this point they cannot replace molecular methods for HCV RNA quantification. More studies, with concomitant consideration of the financial cost of HCV viral load testing, need to be conducted in order to pinpoint the proper applications of HCV Ag testing.

**Figure 1** Correlation between hepatitis C virus (HCV) core antigen (HCV-Ag) measured by Architect and HCV-RNA measured by branched DNA (bDNA). The correlation coefficient (R) indicates agreement between logarithmically transformed results.
Summary Box

What is already known:

- Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is detected and quantified in serum or plasma by means of HCV RNA measurements, using molecular methods.
- Quantification of HCV core antigen (HCV-Ag) has been suggested as an attractive alternative to these expensive and demanding measurements.
- Previous developed HCV-Ag ELISA manual tests demonstrated various limitations.

What the new findings are:

- Herein, a simple automated HCV core antigen assay was compared to HCV RNA determination by branched DNA (bDNA).
- The assay was able to detect an equivalent of at least 1200 IU of the HCV RNA/mL.
- There was significant correlation between logarithmically transformed values of HCV RNA and HCV-Ag.
- Variations in HCV RNA/HCV Ag ratio were observed.
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