Hellenic consensus on *Helicobacter pylori* infection
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**Abstract**

The Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology recently organized the “Hellenic consensus on *Helicobacter pylori* (H. pylori) infection”. The aim of this publication is to report the guidelines in order to aid the national gastroenterology community in the management of *H. pylori* infection. Forty-one delegates from all Greek regions, including gastroenterologists, pathologists, clinical microbiologists, epidemiologists and basic scientists, were invited to this meeting. The participants were allocated to 1 of the 4 main topics of the meeting: i.e., *H. pylori* diagnosis and association with diseases; *H. pylori* and gastric cancer; *H. pylori* and extragastric associated disorders; and *H. pylori* treatment. The results of each subgroup were submitted to a final consensus vote that included all participants. Relevant data based on international and Greek publications were presented, and the quality of evidence, strength of recommendation, and level of consensus were graded. The cutoff level of 70% was considered as acceptance for the final statement. It is hoped that the recommendations and conclusions of this report will guide Greek doctors in their daily practice concerning the management of *H. pylori* infection.
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**Introduction**

Since its isolation in 1983, *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection has been one of the most interesting research fields in gastroenterology. Its significance in both benign and malignant gastric disease is well recognized all over the world [1], as are its extragastric manifestations [2]. However, its epidemiology varies in various parts of the globe, while in addition research is evolving. As a result, various scientific boards have organized consensus meetings of experts and published the relevant guidelines [2-4]. No doubt these guidelines are very useful and help the clinicians in their clinical practice. However, given the local differences, it is of importance for national scientific gastroenterological societies to organize their own consensus meetings and to adapt guidelines to their own countries [5]. Towards this end, the Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology recently organized the “Hellenic consensus on *H. pylori* infection”. The aim of this publication is to report the guidelines in order to aid the local gastroenterology community in the management of *H. pylori* infection.

**Methodology**

Forty-one delegates from all Hellenic regions took part in the conference, including gastroenterologists, pathologists,
epidemiologists, clinical microbiologists, and basic scientists. Participants were invited for their knowledge and contribution to H. pylori infection research. The participants were divided into 4 groups according to their main area of interest/expertise: i.e., H. pylori diagnosis, indications for testing, and disease associations; H. pylori-associated extragastric disorders; H. pylori treatment; and H. pylori and gastric malignancy. A coordinator was assigned to each group. Prior to the Consensus conference date, the 3 main coordinators (SDG, SM and TR) held a meeting in Athens at which a questionnaire was drawn up with specific questions for each participant to answer at the consensus meeting, after which these participants were allocated into the 4 groups. Each participant had to make a 10-min presentation of the topic, citing the relevant references, and then provide a relevant statement, with the strength of recommendations and levels of evidence according to a suitable table on classification of evidence [3] (Table 1). Each presentation was followed by extensive discussion, with modifications, additions and deletions. The conclusions and recommendations from each group were prepared and edited, then presented to all participants for final voting. Each topic was adopted as consensus if at least 70% of all participants agreed upon it. The questions, together with the relevant statements, the strength of recommendations and levels of evidence, as well as the agreement levels from these proceedings are reported in this paper.

A. Indications/associations/diagnosis

H. pylori is a human pathogen that causes chronic atrophic gastritis (AG), duodenal ulcer gastric ulcer and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma, and is related to the development of gastric cancer. Its eradication can resolve gastritis and may substantially reduce the incidence of the above-mentioned diseases.

Statement 1: A "test-and-treat" strategy should be the first choice for young patients (<45 years old) presenting with uninvestigated dyspepsia. This approach should not be applied to older patients and patients with alarm symptoms.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 100%

The "test-and-treat" strategy involves the use of noninvasive tests to detect the presence of H. pylori in patients with dyspeptic symptoms, followed by treatment in cases where H. pylori is detected. In countries where the prevalence of H. pylori is higher than 20%, the "test-and-treat" strategy has been recommended for the initial management of patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia to reduce cost, inconvenience and discomfort for the patients [3]. In Greece, as in other European countries, the prevalence of H. pylori in adults is more than 20% [6]. The "test-and-treat" strategy remains the best choice for the general young population, mainly because of economic considerations [7,8]. Since the cost of endoscopy in Greece is much lower than in most occidental countries, the increased cost drawback may not be applicable in Greece, although there are no data to support an alternative policy.

Statement 2: Patients with dyspeptic symptoms older than 45 years of age or with alarm symptoms at any age should be referred for upper endoscopy.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 100%

Unequivocally, endoscopy is mandatory in patients with alarm symptoms, such as dysphagia, weight loss, iron deficiency anemia, gastrointestinal bleeding or abdominal mass, as well as in older patients where the risk of cancer is higher; in these cases the “test-and-treat” strategy is not appropriate [3]. The panel members unanimously accepted the age-limit of 45 years, in accordance with most international guidelines. In addition, if H. pylori prevalence decreases to a level <20% in the population under 45 years the “test-and-treat” strategy for uninvestigated dyspepsia should be reevaluated. Consequently, the prevalence of H. pylori must be continuously monitored in Greece.

Statement 3: H. pylori eradication leads to resolution of functional dyspepsia symptoms in a small number of patients.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 94.4%

Gastritis caused by H. pylori is a distinct entity, and patients with this type of gastritis could have dyspeptic symptoms [9-11]. The Rome IV consensus [12] suggested that, in a subgroup of patients with functional dyspepsia, dyspeptic symptoms can be attributed to H. pylori gastritis, termed H. pylori-associated dyspepsia, if successful eradication therapy is followed by sustained symptom remission [11]. There is evidence that H. pylori eradication in patients with functional dyspepsia is associated with a small but statistically significant benefit in terms of symptom control, compared to no eradication [13,14]. It is estimated that this therapeutic strategy leads to a number needed-to-treat between 8 and 14 for symptom resolution [14,15].

Statement 4: H. pylori eradication reduces the risk of complicated and uncomplicated gastroduodenal ulcers in non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)- and aspirin-naive users. H. pylori eradication is more beneficial if applied before starting NSAID or aspirin treatment, but less in chronic users.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1b; Agreement level: 95%
NSAIDs, aspirin and *H. pylori* infection are independent risk factors for peptic ulcer and peptic ulcer complications [3,16,17]. A meta-analysis that included data from 25 studies demonstrated that peptic ulcer disease was significantly more common in patients taking NSAIDs who were also infected with *H. pylori*, compared to those without *H. pylori* infection [18]. *H. pylori* infection and NSAID use increased the relative risk of ulcer bleeding 1.79-fold and 4.85-fold, respectively. When both factors were present, the risk increased to 6.13 [18]. In a Greek study and a meta-analysis, *H. pylori* infection was found to almost double the risk of bleeding in NSAID users and was the only significant risk factor for upper gastrointestinal bleeding in the multivariate analysis [19]. Naïve NSAID users benefit more than chronic users from *H. pylori* testing and, if positive, treatment, prior to the initiation of NSAIDs [20,21]. Two meta-analyses showed that *H. pylori* eradication is significantly effective for preventing peptic ulcer disease in naïve NSAID users, but that it was less effective in chronic users [22,23].

*H. pylori* eradication has also been shown to reduce peptic ulcer bleeding in aspirin users, although the magnitude of the effect has recently been challenged [16,24]. Since *H. pylori* infection is an independent risk factor for peptic ulcer bleeding in patients taking aspirin or other anticoagulants, it seems reasonable to suggest a similar strategy of *H. pylori* eradication for naïve aspirin users as for those taking NSAIDs [25].

**Statement 5:** *H. pylori* eradication is highly advisable in NSAID or aspirin users with a history of peptic ulcer disease and upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

*Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 100%*

In a randomized trial, it was shown that *H. pylori* eradication significantly reduces the rates of ulcer re-bleeding in users of aspirin or, to a lesser degree, NSAIDs who have a history of upper gastrointestinal bleeding, as opposed to therapy with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) alone [26]. Eradication of *H. pylori* was equivalent to maintenance treatment with PPIs in preventing recurrent bleeding in those taking low-dose aspirin, while PPIs were superior in those taking other NSAIDs [26]. *H. pylori* eradication reduced but did not eliminate the risk of recurrent bleeding in high-risk patients taking low-dose aspirin [27,28]. Therefore, in NSAID or aspirin users, after an episode of complicated or uncomplicated peptic ulcer, prophylactic therapy with PPI is mandatory in addition to *H. pylori* eradication. This strategy has also been shown to be the most cost-effective, according to a mathematical model [29].

**Statement 6:** Eradication of *H. pylori* is recommended in patients commencing long-term PPI therapy.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1c; Agreement level: 95%*

PPI maintenance therapy for 6 months or longer is recommended in certain diseases, such as gastroesophageal reflux disease and Zollinger-Ellison syndrome. Development of gastric pre-malignant lesions in *H. pylori*-infected, long-term PPI users is not universally accepted [30,31]. A meta-analysis of 7 randomized controlled trials (RCTs; 1789 pts), with a high or unclear risk of bias, revealed no clear evidence of corpus gastric atrophy or intestinal metaplasia (IM) progression in long-term (>6 months) PPI users [30]. Although studies in *H. pylori*-infected Mongolian gerbils showed that PPI treatment accelerated the progression to gastric cancer [32], this has not been confirmed in humans [3]. A meta-analysis of 12 studies (2658 pts) revealed that the eradication of *H. pylori* resulted in a significant improvement in atrophy in the corpus, irrespective of the continuation of acid-suppressive drugs, but no regression of IM [33]. In a systematic review of a total of 16 studies (1920 pts), *H. pylori*-positive patients receiving long-term (>3 years) PPI therapy were exposed to a higher risk of developing enterochromaffin-like cell linear/micronodular hyperplasia and corpus atrophy, compared to *H. pylori*-negative patients [34]. No evidence of neoplastic changes was found. *H. pylori* eradication in infected long-term PPI users is an economically dominant strategy, significantly reducing symptom severity and overall healthcare costs [35].

**Statement 7:** 13C-urea breath test (UBT) is the preferred noninvasive method in the Greek population for *H. pylori* diagnosis.

*Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 100%*

Non-invasive methods include the stool antigen test (SAT), serology, and UBT. SAT detects *H. pylori* antigens in stool samples with a sensitivity and specificity up to 95% [36]; however, sample storage and transport may impair the sensitivity of the test. Taking also into account the unwillingness of patients in Greece to collect stool samples for testing, SAT is not the preferred method for adults. Serologic tests detect anti-*H. pylori* IgG antibodies with a variable sensitivity and specificity among the different manufacturers. Positive IgG serology indicates past, but not necessarily ongoing infection. The “cutoff” values of the serologic tests should be carefully determined in the local population before their widespread use in that population [37]. UBT requires the ingestion of a solution with urea labelled with either radioactive 14C or non-radioactive 13C, followed by the detection of isotope-labelled carbon dioxide in a sample of collected exhaled breath air. A positive result indicates that *H. pylori* bacteria are present. The test is well-tolerated, easy to perform and has high diagnostic accuracy, with reported sensitivities and specificities >90% in a recent meta-analysis [38]. The 13C UBT has a higher diagnostic accuracy compared to serology and SALTs [39]; it is therefore the recommended method for the non-endoscopic diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection in the Greek population.
Interventional methods for *H. pylori* diagnosis demand biopsies taken during an esophagogastroduodenoscopy when indicated (see previous statements). The invasive methods which may be used for *H. pylori* diagnosis include RUT, histology, culture and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [1]. In the case of RUT, and in order to increase the sensitivity and to reduce the time to positivity, it is preferable to put 2 biopsies into the reactive medium of RUT, 1 from the antrum and 1 from the corpus. Biopsies have to be taken from normal appearing mucosa, avoiding areas of ulceration and obvious IM where *H. pylori* is scarce or absent [40,41]. Recent use of antibiotics and/or bismuth compounds (4 weeks), as well as PPIs (2 weeks), increases the false-negative results. Recent upper gastrointestinal bleeding also reduces RUT sensitivity [42]. False positive results due to other urease-containing organisms (e.g., *Proteus spp.*) are extremely rare, except in case of hypochlorhydria or achlorhydria [40]. While a negative RUT cannot exclude the presence of *H. pylori*, a positive test permits immediate treatment initiation.

Several methods and techniques, including hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stains, special stains and immunohistochemical stains, are available to pathologists for the detection of *H. pylori* in gastric biopsy specimens. Histochemical methods were and still are considered the reference method for *H. pylori* detection. Immunohistochemical staining is considered by many as the most sensitive and specific method of staining, and also the method with the lowest rate of inter-observer variation [43]. However, the necessity for routine special stains and/or immunohistochemical stains has been debated in recent years. It is definitely easier to detect *H. pylori* using special stains, but is not cost-effective. Active *H. pylori* infection induces a chronic active inflammatory response with characteristic histomorphologic changes commonly observed with H&E staining. Absence of chronic antral inflammation can be used as a surrogate marker to exclude *H. pylori* infection [44]. The presence of moderate to severe plasmacytic inflammation and the presence of moderate to severe neutrophilic infiltrate are sensitive and specific. It would be exceedingly unlikely to find a patient with *H. pylori* infection who does not have at least moderate plasmacytic infiltration (negative predictive value, 98%) [45]. Immunohistochemistry should be reserved for unexplained gastritis and previously treated patients who are likely to have low bacterial density, and especially in gastric MALT lymphoma diagnosis and follow up [46].

> **Statement 8:** When an endoscopy is performed, the rapid urease test (RUT) should be preferred as the first diagnostic test for *H. pylori* detection. Two biopsies should be taken, one from the antrum and one from the corpus. A positive test permits the immediate initiation of treatment.

*Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 86%*

> **Statement 9:** Histochemical methods are considered the standard method for *H. pylori* detection. Immunohistochemistry can be helpful in cases of suspicion of *H. pylori* chronic gastritis when the microbe’s presence cannot be confirmed by histochemical methods.

*Recommendation Grade: A; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 100%*

Several methods exist for providing qualitative assessments to detect resistance in *H. pylori* culture isolates, including disk diffusion, agar dilution and gradient diffusion (E-test) [47]. The agar dilution method, although considered the reference method to which other techniques are compared, is labor-intensive and difficult to perform routinely [47]. The E-test has the advantage of being easy and reliable, with its results expressed as minimum inhibitory concentrations [48]. Several studies have reported an excellent correlation between this method and the agar dilution method [49], including studies from Greece [50,51]. One of the limitations of the E-test is that it is not widely available in Greece. Molecular methods offer an attractive approach for *H. pylori* identification and detection of antimicrobial susceptibility, providing rapid and accurate results, either on strains or directly on gastric biopsy specimens (fresh, frozen or paraffin-embedded). The 2 main methods used are real-time PCR and hybridization, with excellent specificity and sensitivity, greater than 95% [52,53]. Clarithromycin resistance is associated with single-point mutations in the 23SrRNA gene, most commonly A2142C, A2142G and A2143G. The presence of mutations correlates very well with culture-based susceptibility testing [54]. Levofloxacin resistance is frequently related to point mutations in the DNA gyrase A subunit of the gyrA gene, and mainly involves substitutions at amino acid position 87 or 91 [55]. As opposed to phenotypic assays, molecular tests can be applied in biopsies with low bacterial load, and allow the detection of mixed populations (susceptible and resistant strains) [56]. However, they are also subject to limitations due to the variety of point mutations or genes associated with resistance, mainly to levofloxacin.
Statement 11: $^{13}$C UBT is the best method to confirm H. pylori eradication after treatment. When this is not available, SAT with monoclonal antibody is an alternative. If endoscopy is indicated, eradication should be confirmed not only by RUT, but also by histology of multiple biopsies.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 94.7%

The bacterial load after treatment may be low, and this may interfere with the sensitivity of methods that depend on the amount of tissue tested [57]. The RUT has a low sensitivity (up to 60%) for H. pylori detection after treatment [58-60]. The sensitivity of histology also depends on the site, number and size of biopsies, as well as pathologist experience. In contrast, the UBT and SAT have shown good results (sensitivity >90%) as they reflect the whole gastric surface [61,62]. If endoscopy is indicated (gastric ulcer, MALT lymphoma, etc.) then multiple biopsies (Sydney protocol) should be taken to increase the sensitivity. Because of the high cost of histology, biopsies should not be taken if the only purpose is to confirm H. pylori eradication [63-65]. UBT should be offered instead, and H. pylori eradication should be tested at least 2 weeks after PPI discontinuation and 4 weeks after stopping antibiotic and bismuth [38,61]. Serology is not indicated, because antibodies to H. pylori remain positive for a long period after eradication. Other invasive tests, such as culture and PCR, are also not indicated as confirmatory tests for H. pylori eradication in everyday clinical practice.

B. H. pylori and extragastric-associated disorders

Statement 12: A. The association between H. pylori infection and unexplained iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) is supported in the literature. We propose that, after the exclusion of the etiologies most frequently associated with these disorders, H. pylori should be sought and treated.

Recommendation grade: A; Level of Evidence: 1c; Agreement Level: 84.6%

B. The association between H. pylori infection and unexplained idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) is supported in the literature. We propose that, after the exclusion of the etiologies most frequently associated with these disorders, H. pylori should be sought and treated.

Recommendation grade: B; Level of Evidence: 3a; Agreement Level: 84.6%

C. The association between H. pylori infection and unexplained vitamin B12 deficiency is supported in the literature. We propose that, after the exclusion of the etiologies most frequently associated with these disorders, H. pylori should be sought and treated.

Recommendation grade: B; Level of Evidence: 3a; Agreement Level: 84.6%

The association of H. pylori infection with otherwise unexplained IDA has been found in reviews and meta-analyses [66-68], but cohort studies did not support this association [69].

One meta-analysis [70] and 3 systematic reviews [68,71,72] demonstrated that H. pylori eradication induced a significant increase in platelet count in approximately half of ITP-infected patients, especially those with milder degrees of thrombocytopenia [71]; the prognosis of responders being excellent in the long term [68].

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 17 studies involving 2454 patients showed a significant reduction in serum levels of vitamin B12 in infected patients compared to non-infected [73]. However, serum vitamin B12 levels might increase following H. pylori eradication per se [74], or as a result of the consequent reduction of inflammation [75].

Statement 13: Several associations have been reported between H. pylori infection and Parkinson’s disease and dementia. A connection has also been reported between H. pylori infection and chronic eye diseases, such as blepharitis, glaucoma, central serous chorioretinopathy and others. Evidence suggests that anti-H. pylori therapy may improve chronic urticaria and rosacea. Currently, any direct correlation of these diseases with H. pylori and its treatment should be treated with caution.

Recommendation grade: B; Level of Evidence: 3b; Agreement Level: 95.2%

While there is some evidence of modification of the course of Parkinson’s disease, there is no cure with treatment of the H. pylori infection [76]. Kountouras et al [77] reported a significantly higher prevalence of H. pylori infection in a Greek population with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), with a higher prevalence of anti-H. pylori IgG in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with AD [78] and an improvement in cognitive and functional status parameters during 2 years of follow up after successful eradication therapy [79]. However, most of the studies that reported a positive association of the infection with AD were published by the same group [77-79], while the treatment effect detected by Kountouras et al [79] has not yet been replicated elsewhere. The implication of this bacterium in chronic eye diseases, such as blepharitis, glaucoma, central serous chorioretinopathy and others, has also been hypothesized [80]. An association between H. pylori and chronic spontaneous urticaria has been reported and rosacea as an extraintestinal manifestation of H. pylori requires further research [81,82].

Statement 14: The evidence available shows no causative association between H. pylori and cardiovascular disease (CVD). There are no convincing data that H. pylori eradication can reduce cardiovascular events.

Recommendation grade: B; Level of Evidence: 3a; Agreement Level: 89.5%
An association between \textit{H. pylori} in those infected with cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA) and CVD or stroke has been suggested by meta-analyses of case-control studies [83-86]. Moreover, it has been proposed that IgG CagA positivity may predict prognosis after an ST-elevation myocardial infarction [87]. Based on these data, \textit{H. pylori} eradication therapy was proposed as a cardiovascular prevention strategy [88]. However, to date there is insufficient direct evidence from prospective studies and RCTs that \textit{H. pylori} eradication can reduce the incidence of CVD [89]. Moreover, there are studies that have failed to confirm a positive association. An inverse association between \textit{H. pylori} infection and CVD mortality was found [90], especially among CagA-positive patients [91].

In a recent meta-analysis of 10 prospective observational studies evaluating the association between \textit{H. pylori} infection and stroke no strong correlation was found, not even in those with CagA-positive infection [91].

**Statement 15:** A. Among different ethnic groups, there is a significant and persistent negative association between \textit{H. pylori} infection and the development of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

\textit{Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 3a; Agreement level: 91.7\%}

B. The role of \textit{H. pylori} eradication in IBD is unknown.

\textit{Recommendation grade: C; Evidence level: 4; Agreement level: 91.7\%}

A negative association between \textit{H. pylori} infection and IBD has been suggested and supported by many studies, including meta-analyses [92-97]; this association was stronger in CagA-positive patients with Crohn’s disease [92,93]. Data on the link between \textit{H. pylori} eradication and IBD development are currently limited and inconclusive [98].

**Statement 16:** Other \textit{Helicobacter} species cause gastric diseases in humans.

\textit{Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2c; Agreement level: 100\%}

Other non-\textit{H. pylori} helicobacters (NHPH) have been identified in humans and, so far, the \textit{Helicobacter} genus includes more than 30 formally named species [99]. Their occurrence in humans is low [100] compared to the occurrence of \textit{H. pylori} [101]. Many of these helicobacters have been associated with diarrhea, others with bacteremia and systemic disease in immunocompromised hosts [102], whereas enterohepatic \textit{Helicobacter} species such as \textit{H. bilis} and \textit{H. hepaticus} can colonize the biliary tract and have been associated with extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma [103]. Gastric NHPH, such as \textit{H. suis}, \textit{H. felis}, \textit{H. bizzozeronii}, \textit{H. helminthii}, and \textit{H. salomonis}, have been detected in humans suffering from dyspepsia, epigastric pain or acid reflux [104,105], peptic ulcer [106], gastric cancer, and gastric MALT lymphoma [107]. Notably, the risk of developing gastric MALT lymphoma is higher during NHPH infection compared to infection with \textit{H. pylori}. Eradication of these helicobacters by antimicrobial therapy has also resulted in the resolution of gastritis, peptic ulcer disease and clinical remission of MALT lymphoma [107].

**Statement 17:** \textit{H. pylori} eradication therapy can alter the gut microbiota in the short as well as in the long term. The clinical significance of this modification is yet unclear.

\textit{Recommendation Grade: B; Evidence Level: 2b; Agreement Level: 90.5\%}

Using culture-dependent and new culture-independent techniques, evidence is accumulating that the relative

---

**Table 1** Recommendation grade and evidence level used at the Hellenic consensus on \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection. Adapted from Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine–Levels of Evidence, March 2009 (https://www.cebm.net/2009/06/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation grade*</th>
<th>Evidence level</th>
<th>Study types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Systematic review (with homogeneity) of randomized controlled trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial with narrow confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Therapeutic results of the “all or nothing” type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Systematic review (with homogeneity) of cohort studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Cohort study (including a lower quality randomized clinical trial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>Observation of therapeutic results (outcomes research). Ecological study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Systematic review (with homogeneity) of case-control studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Case-control study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Case reports (including cohort or lower quality case-control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Opinion lacking critical evaluation or based on basic matters (physiological study or animal study)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The grade of recommendation does not always correspond to the evidence level.
composition of intestinal microbiota (measured in stool samples before during and after eradication) changes as a result of eradication therapy and recovers to its pretreatment state after 4 to more than 9 weeks in the majority, but not all of the patients [108-110]. Probiotic supplementation slightly counteracts the pervasive effects of antibiotics on the intestinal microbiota (defined by fluorescence in situ hybridization and culture) [108]. Long-lasting perturbations of specific taxa (often beneficial) were also reported in recent studies using meta-genomic and genomic analyses [111-113]. The potential for inducing persistent macrolide resistance in the nostrils, throat and fecal microbiota following eradication therapy has been also reported [109].

C. Treatment

For eradication regimens currently commercially available in Greece, see the Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. It should be pointed out that bismuth salts and tetracycline or the combination drug (“Pylera”) are not commercially available in our country.

Statement 18: Greece is a high H. pylori antibiotic resistance area for clarithromycin and metronidazole, with a prevalence that has far exceeded 20%. Although the resistance rate of H. pylori isolates for levofloxacin is <10%, there is an increasing trend of levofloxacin resistance that jeopardizes its use.

Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 100%

Rapidly increasing antimicrobial resistance worldwide is the main factor contributing to the failure of eradication therapies [114]. Thus, H. pylori has recently been included in the World Health Organization’s high-priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria [115]. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance varies among different geographical areas and has been shown to be associated with the consumption of antibiotics of the same family in those regions [48,116]. From this aspect, treatment recommendations based on a national consensus might be more helpful for local clinicians than those based on International consensuses [5,117].

Concerning Greece, the reported H. pylori resistance rates for adult patients range from 32-37% for metronidazole, 24-27% for clarithromycin, and 7-8% for levofloxacin [48,118]. Although resistance to metronidazole in Greece seems to have remained relatively stable, an increasing prevalence of H. pylori resistance to clarithromycin and levofloxacin over time has been reported [118,119].

Data about secondary resistance are limited in Greece. In a study carried out in 2013, the reported values for metronidazole, clarithromycin and levofloxacin resistance were 50.6%, 72.3% and 15.6%, respectively [120]. Amoxicillin and tetracycline currently seem to be untouched by the resistance problem in Greece.

Statement 19: H. pylori eradication rate is affected by antibiotic resistance, principally by clarithromycin and levofloxacin and to a lesser extent by metronidazole resistance. Thus, treatment recommendations should be based upon regional antibiotic resistance rates and individual consumption of antibiotics.

Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1c; Agreement level: 90.9%

The goal of a first-line treatment in patients with H. pylori infection is to reach ≥90% eradication rate, although this has rarely been achievable in real-world settings, especially in areas with high clarithromycin resistance [121,122].

Many RCTs and meta-analyses have consistently shown that clarithromycin resistance has a detrimental effect on the efficacy of clarithromycin-containing regimens [123,124]. In contrast, metronidazole resistance can be partially overcome by increasing the dose, frequency, and treatment duration [124,125].

It has been shown that, under the standard triple regimen, the mean eradication rate may be substantially lower (up to 70%) for clarithromycin-resistant strains [126,127]. In sequential regimens, clarithromycin resistance lowers the efficacy of 14- and 10-day regimens by 31% and 33%, respectively, whereas metronidazole resistance lowers the efficacy of 14- and 10-day regimens to a lesser extent (by 8% and 20%, respectively) [128]. A concomitant regimen seems to perform better than a sequential one across different patterns of resistance [3] (see supplementary material of [3]).

Eradication rates for isolated clarithromycin-resistant, isolated metronidazole-resistant and dual-resistant strains were: 71% vs. 87%, 86% vs. 98% and 47% vs. 78%, respectively, for sequential vs. concomitant regimens [3]. A multicenter study from Greece, by Georgopoulos et al., has shown that clarithromycin resistance undermined both sequential and concomitant regimens, but the sequential regimen was additionally undermined by metronidazole resistance. Concomitant regimens achieved higher eradication rates than sequential ones of the same duration across all resistance patterns of H. pylori, but the difference reached statistical significance only in metronidazole-resistant strains [129].

Concerning hybrid therapy, in the only Greek study to present resistance data, eradication rates across different patterns of resistance were as follows: 97.8% in dual-sensitive, 90.5% in isolated metronidazole-resistant, 91.4% in isolated clarithromycin-resistant, and 50% in dual-resistant strains of H. pylori [130]. Moreover, dual resistance was the only factor that adversely affected the efficacy of this regimen in multivariate analysis [130]. As far as levofloxacin-based regimens are concerned, a systematic review with meta-analysis has shown that levofloxacin resistance may lower by about 45% the efficacy of a 7-14-day levofloxacin-based triple regimen (PPI sd bid, levofloxacin 500 mg qd, amoxicillin 1 g bid). Mean eradication rate was 81.1% in susceptible vs. 36.3% in resistant strains [131].
To conclude, the *H. pylori* eradication rate is affected significantly by antibiotic resistance, principally by clarithromycin and levofloxacin and, to a lesser extent, by metronidazole resistance. Thus, treatment recommendations should be associated with the consumption of antibiotics of the same family in each region and with individual consumption of antibiotics. Concerning Greece, and based on reported data, a concomitant regimen seems to perform better than the standard triple, sequential and hybrid regimens, especially in dual-resistant *H. pylori* strains.

**Statement 20:** Standard triple therapy for a duration of 7-10 days can no longer be recommended as first-line treatment.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1b; Agreement level: 100%*

PPI triple therapies for 7-10 days were once standard and recommended as first-line therapy [132], but have since become increasingly ineffective [133]. A high clarithromycin resistance rate (over 20%) [118] is the most important factor in reducing the efficacy of the standard triple regimen in Greece—which, according to the latest studies, varies around 75% [133,134]. Therefore, the triple regimen for 7-10 days should be abandoned as a first-line eradication regimen in Greece. In contrast, an optimized triple regimen (using a double dose of a new generation PPI for 14 days) has been proven effective in a Greek population with low clarithromycin resistance (8%) [135], indicating that a 14-day optimized triple regimen could be used only in patients with a recent antibiotic susceptibility test (molecular or culture-based) demonstrating clarithromycin-susceptible *H. pylori* strains.

**Statement 21:** A concomitant non-bismuth quadruple regimen, for at least 10 days, is currently the preferred treatment option in Greece, providing acceptable eradication rates when used as first-line treatment.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1b; Agreement level: 100%*

In recent years, non-bismuth quadruple regimens have shown higher efficacy in many trials and are currently a viable option, especially in countries like Greece where bismuth is not available [136,137]. This finding was reproduced by 2 prospective randomized trials that compared concomitant and sequential regimens of the same duration (10 days) [129,138]. In both trials, a concomitant regimen reached an eradication rate of over 90% per protocol, significantly better compared to a sequential regimen, which had less than 85% efficacy. However, in another open-label controlled trial from Greece, even though the eradication rate for the concomitant regimen (over 90%) was higher compared to the sequential (88%), the difference did not reach statistical significance [139]. A sub-

...analysis linking outcomes with antibiotic resistance showed that the concomitant regimen performed significantly better in metronidazole-resistant strains [129]. This finding was also confirmed by a recent updated systematic review and meta-analysis comparing sequential vs. concomitant regimens [140]. In addition, in one RCT, an optimized 14-day sequential regimen containing a high dose of a second-generation PPI (esomeprazole 40 mg bid) achieved high eradication rates in both per-protocol (93.9%) and intention-to-treat (91.3%) analyses in a population that included about 20% clarithromycin resistance [141]. However, a preliminary Greek study, published as an abstract and using a non-optimized 14-day sequential regimen, has recorded eradication rates <90% with this regimen [142]. Thus, taking the above results together with the known level of metronidazole resistance in Greece (over 30%), we recommend concomitant treatment for at least 10 days as the preferred choice for eradication of *H. pylori*, while the use of a 10-day sequential regimen should be discouraged.

Hybrid regimens are another type that proved their efficacy in a recent meta-analysis [143]. In 2 recently published Greek studies, an over 90% eradication rate in per-protocol analysis was proved [129,142]. As a result, currently a 14-day hybrid regimen should be used as an alternative to concomitant first-line treatment option, in Greece. Certainly, the use of national registries to continuously monitor treatment efficacy and safety in clinical practice is strongly recommended.

**Statement 22:** Fluoroquinolone-containing regimens should not be given as a first-line therapy.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1c; Agreement level: 100%*

The use of fluoroquinolone-containing regimens in first-line therapy should be discouraged [144]. The quinolone-containing regimens have not reached the threshold of a 90% eradication rate, per protocol (or 80%, intention to treat), in many studies as first- and second-line therapies [131,145]. They also have several, possibly severe, adverse effects, while an increasing rate of resistance to levofloxacin has recently been recorded in Greece [119]. Another observed fact was that the strategy of using a clarithromycin-containing therapy as initial treatment and a levofloxacin-containing therapy as rescue regimen achieved higher eradication rates than the opposite sequence [145]. Thus, it can be stated that fluoroquinolone-containing regimens are not recommended as first-line but only as rescue therapies.

**Statement 23:** Extending the treatment duration to 14 days and enhancing acid suppression are strategies that might improve the outcome of certain eradication treatments.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1c; Agreement level: 91.2%*
Prolonging treatment duration has been suggested as a consistent strategy to improve the outcome of standard therapies. In a Greek randomized trial, extending the duration of triple therapy from 7 to 10 days was associated with an increase in eradication rates of about 6%, while by prolonging treatment to 14 days a further boost of approximately 10% was obtained [135]. Accordingly, a meta-analysis of 19 studies confirmed a tendency toward better results with a 7-10 day (vs. 3-5 day) concomitant regimen, highlighting that the success of concomitant therapy may also be duration-dependent [146].

Effective acid suppression is also critical for H. pylori eradication, as it allows the bacteria to enter a replicative state, becoming susceptible to both amoxicillin and clarithromycin. Genetic variation in the activity of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C19 (CYP2C19) is known to affect the plasma levels of PPIs, influencing the outcome of treatment [147]. A high proportion of extensive PPI metabolizers has been reported for North America and Europe. Thus, although the rate of rapid metabolizers in Greece is not precisely known, it is likely that a significant proportion of Greek patients require a higher PPI dose to control pH adequately. Nevertheless, the choice of a newer PPI and/or dose rather than CYP2C19 genotyping seems to be a more practical approach to assure the highest H. pylori eradication rates in clinical settings [148]. Recent data have shown that vonoprazan, a new and very effective potassium-competitive acid blocker, can significantly improve eradication rates when used in triple therapies instead of conventional PPIs [149]; however, so far, this agent has been approved for clinical use only in Japan. European studies determined the yield of so called “optimized” concomitant therapy in high-resistance settings [150]. In a landmark study, optimized concomitant and hybrid regimens have shown high eradication rates (over 90% per protocol) in populations of high clarithromycin resistance [151]. Concerning Greece, data suggest that enhancing acid suppression by using a high-dose, second-generation PPI (esomeprazole 40 mg bid) is a well-suited approach, producing intention-to-treat efficacy above [51,134,136] or close to [129] the boundary of 90% in a 10-day regimen. In contrast, 10-day sequential therapy fails to achieve 80% (intention-to-treat) eradication, irrespective of whether a first- or second-generation PPI is included in the eradication scheme [129,138]. Recently, a 14-day, high-dose esomeprazole hybrid therapy also displayed acceptable cure rates in Greece (intention-to-treat: 85.6%, per protocol: 90.7%) [130].

Furthermore, taking into account that the resistance of H. pylori to amoxicillin is exceptional, and the antibacterial activity of this drug is largely inhibited by gastric acidity. Dual combinations of various doses of amoxicillin (500 mg to 1 g, tid or qid) with high-dose PPI (20-40 mg, tid or qid) have been tested in many countries (including Greece) as alternative therapeutic options, and have yielded highly heterogeneous results, with eradication rates ranging between 53.8% and 93.8% [152-154]. Accordingly, although current evidence offers scant support, high-dose dual therapy for 14 days may be an option worth considering when multidrug-resistant H. pylori infection is suspected, such as in patients with multiple treatment failures [155].

Statement 24: Levofloxacin triple regimen for at least 10 days is recommended as the preferred second-line H. pylori treatment in Greece.

Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 3a; Agreement level: 100%

Since 2010, bismuth has not been available on the Greek market. Therefore, the only available empirical treatment option for patients who have previously failed an H. pylori eradication therapy is a levofloxacin-containing triple regimen (standard dose PPI bid, levofloxacin 500 mg qd, and amoxicillin 1g bid, for 10-14 days) [3,131]. The efficacy of levofloxacin-containing regimens is highly affected by primary resistance to levofloxacin, acquired easily [131]. In countries with a high consumption of quinolones, the levofloxacin resistance rate is rapidly increasing [114]. Therefore, this drug has to be reserved for rescue treatment. According to one prospective study, PPI plus amoxicillin plus levofloxacin (PAL) as second-line therapy after the failure of concomitant treatment showed a 100% eradication rate in both per-protocol and intention-to-treat analyses [51]. However, after 2 previous H. pylori eradication failures, successful eradication was achieved by PAL in 21 of 30 patients (eradication rate 70% in both analyses) [133]. Concerning the optimal duration of treatment, eradication success seems to be significantly higher with 10-day compared to 7-day levofloxacin-containing regimens. Consequently, based on Greek studies and the regional level of levofloxacin resistance, currently below the critical limit of 10% [3,51,118,133,156,157], we suggest a levofloxacin-based triple regimen for at least 10 days as a sufficient (up to now) second-line treatment for H. pylori eradication in patients not previously treated with this antibiotic. Moreover, in light of the evidence for higher eradication rates with longer treatment durations, a 14-day regimen could also be an option [2]. Levofloxacin is generally well tolerated and most adverse effects associated with its use are transient and mild to moderate in severity [158].

Statement 25: In Greece, the eradication rate of bismuth quadruple therapy (BQT), when used as second-line treatment for 14 days, was sufficient.

Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2b; Agreement level: 92.9%

BQT is effective in areas, like Greece, where there is increasing dual clarithromycin and metronidazole resistance, which has been shown to impact all non-bismuth quadruple therapies (sequential, concomitant, or hybrid therapy) [127,131,159,160]. This regimen is independent of clarithromycin resistance, while metronidazole resistance has limited clinical impact, especially after prolongation of treatment (14 days) and an increase in the dose of metronidazole (1500 mg per day) [152,161]. As mentioned above, bismuth
salts and tetracycline have not been available on the Greek market for many years and recent nationwide data are lacking. When both bismuth salts and tetracycline were commercially available, BQT was used as a second- or third-line therapy. According to Greek studies [137,156,157,162-164], the eradication rates of BQT as second-line treatment, when used for 7-14 days, varied from 66.7-83.7% on intention-to-treat analysis and from 78.7-96% on per-protocol analysis. The unavailability of either tetracycline or bismuth salts in Greece, and the effectiveness of concomitant and levofloxacin triple therapy [51,156,157] in the Greek population, relegate the use of BQT to third-line treatment. The use of a new formulation of a three-in-one mono-capsule, containing bismuth salts with metronidazole and tetracycline (licensed as “Pylera” in Europe) plus a PPI, achieved good compliance and optimal eradication rates (>90%) where it was tested [165,166].

Statement 26: Culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing is not recommended before first-line therapy. Susceptibility-guided H. pylori therapy should be currently reserved as a rescue option, especially after a second-line treatment has failed.

Recommendation grade: C; Evidence level: 4; Agreement level: 81.3%

In contrast to the treatment of other bacterial infections, which involves the selection of an antibiotic based upon the organism’s in vitro sensitivity, treatment of H. pylori has historically relied on empiric trials. This is because the systematic performance of endoscopy and H. pylori culture has several shortcomings: first, it is invasive and costly; second, it is time-consuming and complex to perform; and third, it might not completely reflect the in vivo susceptibility. At present, culture is performed in very few centers in Greece; thus, it is impractical or even unfeasible to recommend its routine use. Despite these limitations, the continuous rise in antibiotic resistance has prompted some experts to advocate universal pretreatment susceptibility testing in order to select the most effective therapy while minimizing the misuse of antibiotics [152,167]. However, a recent randomized study showed that susceptibility-guided therapy in a high resistance area was equally as effective as a local empirical regimen [168]. In addition, a recent randomized study failed to reveal superiority of genotypic resistance-guided therapy over a properly designed empirical treatment for eradication of refractory H. pylori infection [169].

Molecular testing methods such as PCR can be used to identify many of the mutations known to be responsible for antibiotic resistance [170]. These methods may revolutionize our approach to susceptibility-guided H. pylori therapy, allowing for non-invasive (fecal or other) and rapid characterization of genotypic resistance to clarithromycin (point mutations in the 23S rRNA gene) and fluoroquinolones (gyrase subunit A mutations) [171]. In contrast, no molecular test is available to test for metronidazole resistance, due to multiple and largely unknown mechanisms. A few available studies gathered data on the potential of a PCR-tailored approach and found promising results [172,173]. Interestingly, a Greek pilot study [174] determined remarkably high cure rates using a tailored 7-day triple regimen, in which selection of the key antibiotic (clarithromycin, levofloxacin, or rifabutin) relied on a PCR-based reverse hybridization assay. In the future, both clinical and economic evaluation data are awaited to better characterize the position of molecular testing in routine practice [175,176].

Statement 27: Rifabutin should be reserved for third or subsequent treatment attempts.

Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2a; Agreement level: 80%

There are no data from clinical trials conducted in our country that evaluated rifabutin in H. pylori eradication. The proven efficacy of rifabutin in the treatment of H. pylori infection should be balanced against its high cost, the risk of myelotoxicity (almost always reversible) and concerns about inducing resistance among Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains [177,178]. In a meta-analysis of cohort studies and cohort-type data from RCTs that used PPI plus amoxicillin plus rifabutin (PAR) as salvage treatment, pooled eradication rates were 79% (95% confidence interval [CI] 67-92%) as second-line, 66% (95%CI 55-77%) as third-line, and 70% (95%CI 60-79%) as fourth- or fifth-line [178]. Rifabutin triple therapy is also effective in multidrug-resistant strains of H. pylori [179]. There is little evidence concerning the optimal duration for the PAR salvage treatment. It is notable that myelotoxicity, which can rarely complicate treatment with rifabutin, tends to occur with doses of greater than 600 mg per day or with prolonged use. Thus, when rifabutin triple therapy is recommended, a dose of 300 mg daily and a duration of 10 days would appear to be an appropriate choice [178].

Statement 28: In patients with documented penicillin allergy, a clarithromycin, fluoroquinolone-containing regimen may represent an initial empirical approach. Culture and an antimicrobial susceptibility test, if available, prior to any treatment attempt could be an alternative option.

Recommendation grade: C; Evidence level: 4; Agreement level: 85.7%

H. pylori eradication is a challenge in patients allergic to penicillin. This leads to suboptimal choices and an increased risk of treatment failure using regimens that omit amoxicillin. It may be speculated that the rate of resistance to other antibiotics, different to β-lactam antibiotics, could be even higher in such patients, especially with regard to macrolides and particularly clarithromycin. Therefore, if the history is ambiguous, it seems...
appropriate to refer patients for allergy testing before any initial therapeutic approach, since the vast majority can ultimately be safely given amoxicillin-containing regimens [4]. There is a lack of data from clinical trials addressing the issue of *H. pylori* eradication in penicillin-allergic patients in Greece. Antibiotic susceptibility test and culture, if available, could be the initial approach; if not, the initial therapeutic step should be an empirical one. The substitution of metronidazole for amoxicillin in a triple combination (PPI–clarithromycin–metronidazole) is not an effective option, given the well-known prevalence of resistance to either or both antibiotics [118,180,181]. As empirical first-line treatment one could use the combination PPI–levofloxacin–clarithromycin for 10 days [180,181]. In case of failure of the first-line empiric therapeutic approach, then a 10- to 14-day bismuth quadruple regimen should be prescribed. The same approach can be used in the case of clarithromycin and/or levofloxacin resistance, if the initial workup was based on susceptibility testing by culture. As third- or fourth-line treatment after failed levofloxacin-based triple and bismuth-based quadruple therapies, a regimen including rifabutin (PPI–rifabutin–clarithromycin) for 10 days could be an alternative option. Moreover, since bismuth salts, tetracycline or the combination drug “Pylera” are not commercially available in Greece, if the initial empirical treatment attempts have failed, a bismuth-containing regimen that could be procured by IFET (Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Technology) should be another option, especially if culture and antimicrobial susceptibility test are unavailable.

The most commonly studied probiotic species are the *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium* and *Saccharomyces* genera. Concerning the use of probiotics as a single therapy in *H. pylori* treatment, limited studies suggest that specific probiotics, such as *Saccharomyces boulardii* and *Lactobacillus johnsonii* La1, probably diminish the bacterial load, but do not completely eradicate the *H. pylori* infection [182]. A recent meta-analysis of RCTs, involving 4,515 patients, showed that the addition of probiotics to triple therapies significantly increased *H. pylori* eradication rates by 12.2% and 14.1%, respectively [183]. Yet, other recent meta-analyses have been shown similarly good results by using probiotics as adjunctive to triple regimens [184]. On the contrary, another meta-analysis of 21 RCTs showed that a standard triple regimen plus probiotics may reduce the frequency of adverse events compared to the same triple regimen with or without placebo, but does not increase the eradication rate [185]. Moreover, when probiotics were added to quadruple eradication regimens, no additional benefit in *H. pylori* eradication rates was demonstrated, but only a reduction in the rate of adverse events [186]. More specifically, probiotics were shown to significantly decrease side effects such as nausea, vomiting, epigastric pain, and mainly diarrhea. One study analyzed the microbiome of patients receiving eradication treatment with or without the addition of probiotics and found a greater proportional shift in functional gene families in the group that received antibiotics only [187]. However, the beneficial effects of probiotics seem to be strain-, dose- and duration-specific, which could result in misleading conclusions [3]. Consequently, more data are definitely needed to assess the direct efficacy of probiotics against *H. pylori*.

### D. *H. pylori* and gastric malignancy

**Statement 30:** *H. pylori* is considered the principal trigger for the development of gastric cancer.

**Recommendation grade:** A; **Evidence level:** 1a; **Agreement level:** 94%

The overall odds ratio for gastric cancer development in *H. pylori*-infected vs. *H. pylori*-uninfected persons was found to be 3.8 (95%CI 2.3-6.2) [188]. Other less common causes account for only 3-5% of gastric adenocarcinomas, and include infection with the Epstein-Barr virus, inherited genetic abnormalities and autoimmune gastritis. Gastric cancer risk increases with infection with more virulent strains of *H. pylori*, such as CagA positive strains [189]. Importantly, all *H. pylori* strains cause gastric inflammation and disease. The difference in gastric cancer risk between the most- and the least-virulent strains is probably less than 3-fold, prompting the recommendation that all *H. pylori* infections be eradicated, irrespective of virulence factors [190].

**Statement 31:** Environmental factors, including salt, salted foods and smoking, are classified as probable risk factors for gastric cancer. The impact of excess alcohol drinking on gastric cancer development according to *H. pylori* infection status remains controversial. Fruit and non-starchy vegetables might protect against gastric cancer.

**Recommendation grade:** A; **Evidence level:** 1a; **Agreement level:** 100%

A large amount of evidence suggests that host diet and other environmental factors influence *H. pylori*-associated gastric cancer.

**Dietary salts:** Sheng *et al* found a direct association between salt intake and gastric cancer risk among the 11 studies included in their meta-analysis [191]. Another study also found that the consumption of salted fish, soy sauce, pickled vegetables, cured meat, and other salt-preserved foods
increased the risk of gastric cancer via a synergic link to gastric atrophy [192]. Based on the available data, salt and salted foods have been classified as probable risk factors for gastric cancer, as well as food that could exacerbate gastritis [193].

**Cigarette smoking:** Many studies have demonstrated that cigarette smoking is associated with the risk of developing gastric cancer in *H. pylori*-infected subjects. Buckland et al constructed a healthy lifestyle index to investigate the joint influence of smoking, alcohol, certain dietary factors, and weight on gastric cancer risk within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort. The analysis included 461,550 participants (662 first incident gastric cancer cases) with a mean follow up of 11.4 years. A healthy lifestyle index was constructed. The authors found that the highest vs. the lowest score in the healthy lifestyle index was associated with a significant lower risk of gastric cancer by 51% overall, by 77% for cardia gastric cancer, and by 47% for non-cardia gastric cancer. They calculated that 18.8% of all gastric cancer and 62.4% of cardia gastric cancer cases could have been prevented if participants had followed a healthy lifestyle behavior [194].

**Alcohol consumption:** The impact of excess alcohol drinking on gastric cancer development according to *H. pylori* infection status remains controversial. It seems, however, that it could have a harmful influence on gastric cancer development in conjunction with other unhealthy lifestyle behaviors [194].

**Vegetables and fruits:** The preventive role of the antioxidants contained in food, vegetables and fruits against gastric cancer has been proved in several studies. Some case-control studies from Europe, Asia, and North America suggest that intakes of both fruits and vegetables are protective against gastric cancer, reducing the risk by approximately 40% with the consumption of fruits and 30% by consuming vegetables [195]. A meta-analysis conducted by Lunet et al found overall weaker associations in the reduction in gastric cancer risk, from 18% for high intake of fruits to 12% for high intake of vegetables [196].

**Statement 32:** *H. pylori* infection is associated mainly with the intestinal type of gastric cancer through a cascade mechanism involving IM, dysplasia, and finally carcinogenesis. The diffuse type is more frequently related with a loss of expression of E-cadherin, rather than *H. pylori* infection.

*Recommendation grade for intestinal type: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 84%*

*Recommendation grade for diffuse type: B; Evidence level: 2a; Agreement level: 84%*

The prolonged gastric inflammation resulting from chronic *H. pylori* infection may cause epithelial damage that leads to gastric atrophy characterized by a loss of parietal cells and chief cells, and glandular atrophy [197]. The diffuse subtype of gastric cancer appears to be more aggressive than the intestinal type. It is generally diagnosed in younger patients and no gender bias exists [198]. It can be associated with *H. pylori* infection, but in these cases there is the added impact of genetics: i.e., loss of expression of E-cadherin [199].

**Statement 33:** *H. pylori* eradication can reverse some pre-neoplastic lesions such as atrophy but not IM.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1b; Agreement level: 100%*

Three meta-analyses in 2007, 2011 and 2014 [200-202] showed an association of *H. pylori* eradication with histologic improvement in AG but not in IM. However, according to the Kyoto Consensus, *H. pylori* eradication cannot “reset the clock” to zero (i.e., no risk) but can stop the progression of risk and stabilize or decrease the subsequent risk [12]. Moreover, until now, Asian guidelines recommend *H. pylori* eradication in patients with AG and IM [203-205]. According to the European Union guidelines, the European Maastricht V Consensus, *H. pylori* eradication results in significant improvement in gastritis and AG but not in IM [3]. Finally, a recent meta-analysis (10 RCTs and 16 cohort studies with 52,363 subjects) suggested that patients with non-AG or AG benefited from *H. pylori* eradication for the risk of gastric cancer development, whereas those with IM or dysplasia did not. Hence, *H. pylori* eradication in patients who have already developed advanced pre-neoplastic lesions (i.e., IM or dysplasia) does not prevent the development of gastric cancer [206].

**Statement 34:** *H. pylori* “screen-and-treat” strategies are recommended in communities at high risk of gastric cancer.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement level: 100%*

Evidence suggests that *H. pylori* is the single most important etiological factor of gastric cancer, although in certain cases, such as cardia gastric cancer, some hereditary gastric cancers and cancers related to autoimmune gastritis, *H. pylori* seems not to be a necessary prerequisite [207]. Screen-and-treat strategies, with a large sample size and a sufficiently long follow-up period, have been conducted in a few countries, such as Taiwan [208], and China [209], and showed that such a strategy can prevent gastric cancer.

**Statement 35:** Screen-and-treat for *H. pylori* is recommended in individuals at increased risk for gastric cancer.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 2a; Agreement level: 100%*

The increased prevalence of precancerous changes, such as atrophy and IM, in first-degree relatives of gastric cancer...
patients with *H. pylori* infection has been documented in several trials and in a meta-analysis [210,211]. Therefore, screening and treatment should be advised. Accordingly, immigrants from high-risk countries should be targeted and screened [212,213]. Noninvasive screening approaches for the identification of individuals at high risk for gastric cancer should be preferred on a population-wide basis to endoscopy ones. The noninvasive markers peptatin A, peptatin II, and their ratio have been used as predictors of AG and IM, and their diagnostic accuracy has been assessed in a recent meta-analysis [214]. Accumulating data on biomarkers related with genetic variations and gastric cancer susceptibility will hopefully provide more useful screening tools in the future [215].

**Statement 36:** *H. pylori* eradication is associated with a significantly lower risk of gastric cancer, with significant implications for the prevention of this cancer. This benefit is maximized when *H. pylori* eradication is applied at early stages of the infection.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1a; Agreement: 92%*

A growing body of evidence has suggested that *H. pylori* eradication might prevent the development of gastric cancer [216]. Thus, a systematic review and meta-analysis [206] (collaboration between Greece and Italy) of available studies was performed to update and better define the role of *H. pylori* eradication in preventing gastric cancer, with particular reference to patients with precancerous lesions (atrophy, IM, and dysplasia) at baseline histology. The risk of gastric cancer was significantly lower among patients with successfully eradicated *H. pylori* than among controls (P=0.00001). This finding applied separately for both RCTs (P=0.00009) and for cohort studies (P=0.00001).

Concerning *H. pylori* eradication in patients with precancerous lesions, subgroup analyses showed that patients with non-AG or AG benefit from *H. pylori* eradication in terms of the risk of gastric cancer development, whereas those with IM or dysplasia do not. In conclusion, this meta-analysis showed that *H. pylori* eradication is associated with a significantly lower risk of gastric cancer, with significant implications for prevention of gastric cancer. This benefit is maximized when *H. pylori* eradication is applied at early stages of the infection, as was also suggested by another recent study [217].

**Statement 37:** *H. pylori* infection is accepted as the major etiological factor for localized early-stage gastric MALT lymphoma.

*Recommendation grade: A; Evidence level: 1b; Agreement level: 100%*

*H. pylori* infection is accepted as the major etiological factor for localized early-stage (Lugano I/II, Paris T1, N0, M0) gastric MALT lymphoma [218]. Epidemiological, histomorphological, molecular, and experimental studies support the causative relation between the pathogen and gastric lymphomagenesis, and anti-*H. pylori* treatment should be offered as initial treatment to all patients [219]. Anti-*H. pylori* treatment is effective in approximately 60-80% of cases, thus providing another line of evidence for the association between *H. pylori* and MALT [220]. Patients with cytogenetic abnormalities, such as t(11;18)(q21;q21) and t(1;14)(q22;q32), are resistant to anti-*H. pylori* treatment and should be treated, after consultation with a hematologist/oncologist, with radiotherapy or chemotherapy [221-223]. Close endoscopic follow up is recommended after successful treatment, anti-microbial or other, given the fact that all patients carry precancerous lesions, such as gastric atrophy and IM, and are at increased risk for development of gastric adenocarcinoma [224].

**Statement 38:** The use of image-enhanced endoscopic technologies improves the detection of pre-neoplastic lesions of gastric mucosa and helps the targeted biopsies.

*Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2a; Agreement level: 77%*

The identification and surveillance of pre-neoplastic gastric lesions results in early detection of gastric cancer. Greek studies have shown that high-definition endoscopy and narrow-band imaging can efficiently detect gastric atrophy and IM [225,226]. A recent meta-analysis [227] showed that chromoendoscopy improves the detection of pre-neoplastic gastric lesions in comparison with white-light endoscopy. The pooled sensitivity was 0.90 (95%CI 0.87-0.92) and specificity 0.82 (95%CI 0.79-0.86) [227]. The existing data regarding the role of confocal laser endomicroscopy and auto-fluorescent imaging for the detection of pre-neoplastic lesions in the stomach, although promising, are inadequate to support their routine use [228].

**Statement 39:** OLGA and OLGIM staging systems are useful for gastric cancer risk stratification. Upper gastrointestinal surveillance endoscopy should be offered to patients with stage III and IV.

*Recommendation grade: B; Evidence level: 2c; Agreement level: 77%*

Recent pathological guidelines recommend that the extent of atrophy and metaplasia must be explicitly described in histological reports [229,230]. OLGA (for Operative Link on Gastritis Assessment) is a system that classifies gastritis according to the extent of atrophy in the stomach: the more advanced the stage the more likely it is that a malignancy will develop [230,231]. Another system, considering IM instead of atrophy (OLGIM) was proposed later on and seems a little more precise than OLGA in predicting gastric cancer risk [232]. Surveillance is recommended for OLGA/OLGIM stages III and IV because patients with those stages of gastritis are at higher risk for gastric cancer development [233-235].
Concluding remarks

This is the first Hellenic consensus on *H. pylori* infection comprising 39 statements on 4 main topics, i.e., *H. pylori* diagnosis and association with diseases; *H. pylori* and gastric cancer; *H. pylori* and extra gastric associated disorders; and *H. pylori* treatment. The report summarizes the current concepts on these topics based on data derived from relevant medical literature and especially taking into account publications coming from Greek medical centers. It is expected that the recommendations and conclusions of this report will guide Greek physicians in their daily practice of managing *H. pylori* infection. As new data will becoming available from well-designed clinical trials these consensus statements might be regularly revised.
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Eradication regimens currently available in Greece

The eradication regimens for Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) have continued to evolve over the past 20 years, with multiple regimens having been evaluated in randomized controlled trials. In addition, many national guidelines for H. pylori treatment have been published, highlighting the importance of differences in the effectiveness and availability among eradication regimens observed in different countries [1]. However, the optimal therapeutic regimen has not yet been defined. It has been suggested that the goal of H. pylori therapy should now be eradication in at least 90% of treated patients [2]. This arbitrary threshold is not easily achieved, especially in real-world settings [3]. However, the most efficacious therapies available should be used first, to avoid the cost, inconvenience, and risks associated with treatment failure.

The most common regimens for H. pylori eradication currently available in Greece are shown in Supplementary Table 1 and the suggested doses in Supplementary Table 2.

It should be noted that in Greece bismuth, tetracycline and furazolidone are not commercially available. Therefore, regimens containing these drugs are not so far applicable to Greek patients.

### Supplementary Table 1 Regimens currently available in Greece

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First line</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended option</td>
<td>Concomitant (non-bismuth) quadruple (PAMC)</td>
<td>PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole + clarithromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended option</td>
<td>Hybrid (non-bismuth) quadruple (PA followed by PAMC)</td>
<td>PPI + amoxicillin followed by PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole + clarithromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Sequential (non-bismuth) quadruple (PA followed by PMC)</td>
<td>PPI + amoxicillin followed by PPI + metronidazole + clarithromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Triple (PAC, PMC or PAM)</td>
<td>PPI + amoxicillin + clarithromycin + metronidazole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Prior treatment failure** | | |
| Recommended option | Levofloxacin-containing therapy (usually PAL) | PPI + amoxicillin + levofloxacin |
| Recommended option | Moxifloxacin-containing therapy (usually PAM) | PPI + amoxicillin + moxifloxacin |
| Restricted option | Rifabutin-containing therapy (usually PAR) | PPI + amoxicillin + rifabutin |

PPI, proton pump inhibitor

### Supplementary Table 2 Recommendations for dose of agents available in Greece, used for Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy

| Doses for agents in all regimens | | |
| Amoxicillin | 1000 mg | bid |
| Clarithromycin | 500 mg | bid |
| Metronidazole | 500 mg | bid |
| Levofoxacin | 500 mg | qd |
| 250 mg | bid |
| Moxifloxacin | 400 mg | qd |
| Rifabutin | 150 mg | bid |
| PPI | standard dose *mg | bid |

*The dose depends on the PPI used. Standard doses are omeprazole 20 mg, esomeprazole 20 mg, rabeprazole 20 mg, lansoprazole 30 mg, and pantoprazole 40 mg

†In clinical trials, eradication appears to be similar in studies that use levofloxacin 250 mg bid or 500 mg qd dosing [4]
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