Anal intraepithelial neoplasia: diagnosis, screening, and treatment
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Abstract

Anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) is a premalignant lesion for anal cancer. It is more commonly found in high-risk patients (e.g., human papilloma virus (HPV)/human immunodeficiency virus infections, post-organ transplantation patients, and men who have sex with men) and development is driven by HPV infection. The incidence of AIN is difficult to estimate, but is heavily skewed by preexisting conditions, particularly in high-risk populations. The diagnosis is made from cytology or biopsy during routine examinations, and can be performed at a primary care provider’s office. A pathologist can then review and classify cells, based on nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratios. The classification of low or high grade can better predict progression from AIN to anal cancer. There is little debate that AIN can develop into anal cancer, and the main rationale for treatment is to delay the progression. Significant controversy remains regarding screening, surveillance, and treatment for AIN. Management options are separated into surveillance (watchful waiting) and interventional strategies. Emerging data suggest that close patient follow up with a combination of ablative and topical treatments may offer the greatest benefit. HPV vaccination offers a unique treatment prior to HPV infection and the subsequent development of AIN, but its use after the development of AIN is limited. Ablative treatment includes excision, fulguration, and laser therapy.
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Introduction

Human papilloma virus (HPV)-related squamous epithelial dysplasia is a precursor to invasive squamous cell carcinoma in multiple anatomic sites, including the anogenital tract. The Lower Anogenital Squamous Terminology Standardization (LAST) project for HPV-associated lesions recently provided guidelines for a unified nomenclature. A 2-tiered system, designated as low- or high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL or HSIL, respectively), is the recommended terminology for reporting. In the anal canal, LSIL correlates with anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN)-1 or anal condylomata, while HSIL correlates with AIN-2 and AIN-3. The 2-tiered system, based on consensus evaluation, more accurately reflects the biology of these HPV-related lesions [1].

A squamous intraepithelial anal lesion is the dysplastic growth of squamous epithelial cells in the transition zone of the anal canal. These lesions are pre-malignant, and can progress to anal squamous cell carcinoma if left untreated [2]. For ease of terminology in this paper, we will refer to LSIL lesions as AIN-1, and HSIL lesions as AIN-2/AIN-3, or high-grade AIN. AIN has a clear association with HPV, and is more prevalent in at-risk populations, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients, men who have sex with men (MSM), and renal transplant patients. In these populations, the rates of anal cancer are dramatically elevated, despite it being a relatively uncommon disease [3]. This review aims to explore the epidemiology, current strategies for diagnosis and treatment, and prognosis of AIN.

Incidence

The incidence of AIN within the general population is difficult to estimate. Rates of anal cancer are low, with approximately 1.8 cases per 100,000 men and women. This number is heavily skewed by preexisting conditions, particularly in high-risk populations, such as those infected with HPV or HIV, post-organ transplantation patients and MSM.

Several studies have tried to clarify the modern trends in anal cancer and AIN. Overall, prior to the 1990s, rates of
anal cancer were higher in women than men, while in recent years, the rates have been comparable. Women have a higher incidence of anal cancer after age 50; the majority of patients, however, are men between the ages of 20–49 [4]. A large study from Denmark reviewed data from 1978–2008 to characterize the burden of disease at a national level. Comparing pre-1980s data to post-2003 data, they found that the incidence of anal cancer increased for both men and women. They also discovered a 5% annual increase in the incidence of high-grade AIN between 1998 and 2008. The annual increase in AIN was larger than the annual increase in anal cancer, probably reflecting higher rates of screening; regardless, the scope of disease was larger than previously thought [5].

The rate of conversion of AIN to anal cancer remains controversial. Preliminary prospective studies suggest the rate is similar to that for cervical cancer, with about 9–13% conversion for AIN-3 within a 5-year period for untreated patients [6,7], but there is variability among different risk populations, with higher rates in HIV-positive and immunocompromised patients. Despite these prospective studies, a large meta-analysis from 2012 found that the rate of conversion was much lower, with progression rates of 1 in 600 per year for HIV-positive MSM and 1 in 4000 per year for HIV-negative MSM patients [8]. This discrepancy may be attributed to the mix of AIN-2 and AIN-3 patients within the meta-analysis, while the prospective studies followed only AIN-3. Spontaneous regression of AIN occurs in a proportion of patients. A retrospective study showed that high-grade AIN regresses to AIN-1 at a rate of 17%, and to no disease at a rate of 7%. These patients were a mixture of HIV-positive and HIV-negative [9].

Pathogenesis of disease and risk factors

HPV

HPV is a sexually transmitted virus that currently infects an estimated 79 million Americans. Approximately 14 million people are infected every year; it is generally agreed that most sexually-active males and females will acquire an HPV infection at some point in their lifetime, though 90% of them will be silent and resolve spontaneously [10]. HPV is a non-enveloped double-stranded DNA virus that infects mucosal and cutaneous keratinocytes. The risk factors associated with persistent HPV infection are presented in Table 1 [11]. There are over 180 subtypes of the virus, 30 of which are thought to infect the anogenital tracts. These subtypes can usually be categorized into high- vs. low-risk in terms of their oncologic potential. HPV infection has been established as a leading cause of cancer and its causative effect in cervical cancer has been firmly established [12].

Retrospective analyses have shown HPV to have a clear association with AIN and anal cancer. HPV prevalence among patients with AIN is over 90% [13]. A large meta-analysis that compared cervical cancer, vulvar carcinoma and anal cancer found that patients with anal cancer had the highest prevalence of HPV infection (84.3%) [14]. In addition, the amount of HPV DNA found in biopsy specimens is higher for higher-grade AIN than for lower-grade AIN, suggesting a role in the development of malignancy [15].

Though patients considered high-risk (MSM, HIV-positive, immunocompromised patients) often have HPV infection, it can occur in both heterosexual and non-HIV-infected patients. Multiple studies have found HPV in the anal canal of heterosexual men, with rates ranging between 8–30%. For HIV-negative women, the prevalence of anal HPV approaches 27% [16]. These studies suggest that anal HPV is much more prevalent than previously thought.

The correlation between AIN and HPV is strong, but recent data has shown HPV may be causative for the development of AIN. Early microscopic studies demonstrated HPV particles within malignant cells and recent PCR studies have shown a large quantity of viral DNA within tumor cells [17,18]. The biologic mechanism for malignant transformation of cells is mediated by HPV’s ability to encode tumor suppressor proteins E5, E6, and E7, which change the intracellular expression of p53 and retinoblastoma protein, leading to changes in cell growth and apoptosis [19]. High-grade AIN and anal cancer are characterized by increased E-gene expression and a loss of L-gene expression (viral capsid gene) [7].

Low-risk HPV

The most common low-risk subtypes of HPV are 6 and 11, associated with AIN-1 and carry a lower risk of malignancy. The majority of HPV infections fall into this low-risk category. Epidemiologic studies have shown that HPV 6 is associated with 3% of anal cancers, but this is attributed to concomitant infection with the commonest high-risk subtypes of HPV. Despite the low risk of cancer, the disease burden of AIN-1 can be large. Patients often need local treatment and surveillance.

High-risk HPV

The most researched high-risk HPV subtypes are 16 and 18. High-risk HPV is associated with AIN-2 and AIN-3, and estimates attribute 80-90% of anal cancers to these high-risk
strains, most commonly subtype 16 [20]. Subtype 18, on the other hand, is found more commonly in cervical cancer and is associated with adenocarcinoma, as opposed to HPV 16, more commonly associated with squamous carcinoma [14]. Anal cancer associated with high-risk HPV is more commonly found within the anal canal, and is less likely to be associated with the perianal skin [21].

**HIV**

HIV infection remains a major public health concern and is associated with an increased incidence of AIN and anal cancer, particularly in MSM [22]. The exact rate of AIN among HIV-positive patients is not known, but rates of anal cancer are 30-100 times higher than in the general population; among HIV-positive patients, 80% of anal cancers occur in MSM [22]. The higher incidence of AIN and anal cancer among HIV-positive patients is probably multifactorial, but is likely to reflect a high prevalence of coinfection with HPV. It is estimated that 72-90% of HIV-positive patients are infected with HPV and that 90% of HIV-positive patients with AIN have been infected with a high-risk subtype of HPV [23]. The pathophysiology of HPV infection among HIV-positive patients is due to immunodeficient patients being unable to prevent the propagation of the HPV proteins (E2, ZE6, E7) associated with high-grade dysplasia [24].

Over the last 30 years, highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART)-based treatment has revolutionized the treatment of HIV, with improved mortality and morbidity; despite these improvements, however, the incidence of anal cancer has increased in HIV-positive patients [20]. Retrospective data have not been conclusive about the relationship between decreasing CD4 counts and the increased risk of anal cancer; some studies support the relationship [25] while others do not [26]. The benefits of HAART improve the overall survival of HIV-positive patients, but its specific effect on AIN and anal cancer is inconclusive.

**Other risk factors**

Medical immunosuppression in the post-solid organ transplant is associated with both AIN and anal cancer. A literature review from 2007 found that the prevalence of AIN within renal transplant patients was 20%, while rates of anal HPV infection in these patients approached 50%. The increased relative risk of anal cancer was 10% for renal transplant patients [27]. In addition to the presence of immunosuppression, its duration and intensity contribute to the increasing risk of anal cancer and AIN [28].

Immunosuppression in other diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been previously thought to contribute to AIN. Crohn's disease patients have been found to have both higher rates and an earlier onset of anal cancer [29]. However, a retrospective review of IBD patients found that the rates of AIN were similar, regardless of immunosuppressive status [30].

**Pathology**

The pathologic assessment of AIN has gone through several iterations. The most widely accepted classification is based on the LAST project, and separates the specimens into 2 tiers. The first tier is LSIL, which includes AIN-1, and the second is HSIL, which encompasses AIN-2 and AIN-3. The histologic characteristics of LSIL are superficial cell atypia with nuclear enlargement and nuclear membrane contour irregularity with preserved nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio (Fig. 1). HSIL involves the entire epithelium, or the lower two thirds, and is typified by a loss of maturation, nuclear hyperchromasia, and membrane irregularity, as well as a decrease in the nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio (Fig. 2). Immunoperoxidase staining for p16 can assist in identifying dark nuclear and cytoplasmic staining in HSIL [31].

**Diagnosis**

The diagnosis of AIN is made from cytology or biopsy during routine examinations. Anal cytology can be performed at a primary care provider’s office, using a swab. If screening is positive for HSIL or LSIL, then patients should be referred for a formal biopsy. Studies examining the sensitivity and specificity of anal cytology vary. The reported sensitivity of anal cytology for the detection of any-grade AIN ranges from 47-90%, with improving sensitivity for high-grade disease or larger areas of disease [32,33]. Specificity for anal cytology is lower, with ranges from 32-60% [34,35].

In addition to cytology, a visual examination of the anus with a direct rectal exam is an important part of the AIN diagnosis.

**Figure 1 Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL/AIN-1)**

Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL/AIN-1). The low-grade lesion is typically characterized by marked superficial cell atypia (bracket) with nuclear enlargement and nuclear membrane contour irregularity with preserved nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio. Bi-nucleation is often seen (arrow). The lower third of the squamous epithelium has more uniform appearance with minimal nuclear variation. Inset: higher power view of nuclear irregularities, along with perinuclear clearing (koilocytic change, arrowheads) [Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) 400x; inset 600x]
its similarity to cervical cancer in terms of pathophysiology. and its application in anal cancer seems appropriate, given anal cancer. The benefits of screening are clear in cervical cancer AIN can prevent the premalignant lesion from progressing to grade AIN is not standardized and remains controversial. Proponents of screening stress that detection and treatment of Screening in a targeted biopsy for pathologic assessment. Visible compared with surrounding tissue; this is of assistance rectum. The acetic acid will cause dysplastic cells to be more definitive diagnosis compared to cytology alone. HRA is it provides more architecture and, in some cases, allows for a more definitive diagnosis compared to cytology alone. HRA is a tool that can be utilized in the office to diagnose AIN, as well as for therapeutic intervention [36]. After acetic acid application, a magnifying anoscope is used to examine the anus and lower rectum. The acetic acid will cause dysplastic cells to be more visible compared with surrounding tissue; this is of assistance in a targeted biopsy for pathologic assessment.

**Screening**

The appropriate screening protocol for low- or high-grade AIN is not standardized and remains controversial. Proponents of screening stress that detection and treatment of AIN can prevent the premalignant lesion from progressing to anal cancer. The benefits of screening are clear in cervical cancer and its application in anal cancer seems appropriate, given its similarity to cervical cancer in terms of pathophysiology.

Despite this corollary, there are no official screening guidelines for AIN from the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), or from any major gastrointestinal medicine or surgical society [37].

**Low-risk**

Low-risk individuals are those who are immunocompetent, HIV-negative, non-MSM, without IBD, and with no history of cervical cancer. These individuals are not routinely screened into anal cancer, it is difficult to form established standardized guidelines. The appropriate screening protocol for low- or high-grade AIN is not standardized and remains controversial. Proponents of screening stress that detection and treatment of AIN can prevent the premalignant lesion from progressing to anal cancer. The benefits of screening are clear in cervical cancer and its application in anal cancer seems appropriate, given its similarity to cervical cancer in terms of pathophysiology.

Despite this corollary, there are no official screening guidelines for AIN from the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), or from any major gastrointestinal medicine or surgical society [37].

**High-risk**

High-risk patients are those who are HIV-positive, MSM, or immunocompromised. Despite the lack of guidelines from the major gastrointestinal medical or surgical societies, various infectious disease societies have recommended annual cytology for HIV-positive patients, especially those who are MSM, or have a history of cervical cancer [38]. A large retrospective review from 2015 showed that patients followed with standard anoscopy or HRA every 3-12 months had lower rates of progression of AIN to anal cancer, compared to epidemiologic data [39,40]. The ASCRS Standard Committee from 2012 recognized that cytology was being used for screening high-risk patients, although concern was raised about the high false negative rates reported in HIV-positive patients. Nevertheless, they agreed with the infectious disease societies and recommended cytology for high-risk patients with referral to a specialist for anoscopy if positive [41]. Screening has been found to be cost-effective for AIN, but its implementation remains variable [42].

The optimal screening tool for high risk patients is debated. Cytology is relatively easy and can be done in a primary care physician’s office; however, as stated above, it can have a high false negative rate for high-risk patients. Given this concern, some have advocated that high-risk patients should undergo HRA for screening, given its better sensitivity and specificity as well as the ability to treat at the time of screening [43,44]. The major disadvantages of this strategy are 1) there may not be enough caregivers who can do HRA, and 2) the high cost of screening with this modality.

There is no established consensus for the timing of subsequent surveillance, though most societies recommend yearly surveillance for HIV-positive males, and every 3-6 months for those with low- or high-grade AIN. There have been no prospective studies examining the timeframe of follow up and, given the variable nature of AIN development into anal cancer, it is difficult to form established standardized guidelines.
Treatment

Surgical excision was preferred in the past before the wide availability of local and targeted therapies. There is little debate that AIN can develop into anal cancer, but the timing and optimal treatment are not standardized. Management options are often separated into surveillance (watchful waiting), or more aggressive interventional strategies. The current literature lacks major randomized studies and most supportive data come from single institutions, case control series or case reports [45]. Treatment options for low- or high-grade lesions include excision, fulguration, laser therapy, or topical treatments such as trichloroacetic acid (TCA), imiquimod or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). Given the wide array of treatments and evolving literature, specialists with expertise in AIN should be the ones to provide care for these patients.

In the past, surgical excision was the treatment of choice for the majority of clinicians [38,46]. Despite this aggressive treatment, patients can still have recurrences, while repeated procedures increase the risk of stenosis or fecal incontinence, especially in patients with circumferential disease. Cases of recurrence or incomplete excision occur more commonly in patients with multifocal lesions or those with a significant disease burden. The rate of recurrence reported after wide local excision in the literature has a large range, between 9-63% [41]. Given these findings, some have suggested surveillance of high-risk lesions; chemoradiotherapy after the development of anal cancer would then be the optimal treatment [46]. No studies comparing the morbidity or mortality of these 2 strategies have been performed.

Fulguration with electrocautery can be carried out during HRA or anoscopy. Initial studies showed favorable results with no recurrence of disease for HIV-negative patients with high-grade AIN. The recurrence rate for HIV-positive patients, however, was 80% at 2-year follow up [47]. Subsequent studies found that a third of HIV-positive patients have a complete response to fulguration, with another third having a partial response, and the remainder no response. Their recurrence rate at 30 months was 25% and the response was dependent on the quantity of fulgurations [48].

Infrared or laser coagulation may offer treatment of AIN with decreased morbidity. It can be done in the office and is tolerated well by the majority of patients. Infrared and laser coagulation primarily target superficial lesions with limited penetration of deeper tissues, allowing patients to return to daily activities sooner than with fulguration [49]. This treatment is not FDA-approved for AIN, but preliminary studies show some benefit. Retrospective data show that patients serially followed and treated with infrared coagulation, did not have progression to anal cancer; recurrence of AIN remains a concern, however, especially in HIV-positive patients. The recurrence rate for HIV-positive patients was 61% at 1 year, compared to 38% in HIV-negative patients [50]. Overall, this treatment could be effective, but more prospective data and standardization are required.

Topical therapies offer an alternative to more invasive procedures. The primary topical agents are TCA, imiquimod, and 5-FU. TCA has a good safety profile with few major side effects. It can be applied during examination, and is well-tolerated. Retrospective studies show that TCA can induce clearance of high-grade AIN in 71-79% of patients, but may not be effective for extensive or bulky disease [51]. 5-FU is a chemotherapy agent that inhibits DNA synthesis and, when applied topically, can clear AIN. Rates of clearance vary, but prospective data showed a complete clearance of 90%, with a recurrence rate of 50% at 6 months [52]. Side effects include hypopigmentation or skin irritation. Imiquimod (trade name Aldara) is a synthetic immune modulator that upregulates a patient's innate immune system to include antiviral activity. For HIV-positive patients, imiquimod has been shown to downstage high-risk lesions to low-risk lesions in randomized trials [53], and 61% of patients in a randomized study had absence of high-grade lesions with imiquimod treatment [54]. The combination of topical and invasive procedures could offer the best benefit for treatment of AIN. At one large single center, 248 patients were followed with exams and serial ablative procedures under HRA and supplemental topical treatments. The authors were able to demonstrate 80% clearance of high-grade dysplasia, and only 1.2% of the patients developed anal cancer [55].

HPV vaccination

HPV vaccination may prove to be a prevention tool for AIN. The quadrivalent vaccine is effective for high-risk HPV strains 6, 11, 16, and 18 and has been previously proven safe and effective in the prevention of cervical cancer. Emerging studies indicate that vaccination may be the most realistic long-term approach to prevention and possible treatment of AIN. The benefit of the vaccine will depend on the timing of vaccination and exposure to HPV [23]. Administration of the vaccine after the diagnosis of AIN in order to assist with prevention in the future has been studied. Retrospective data from a large Swedish trial showed that, in MSM with recurrent AIN, those who received the vaccination had significantly lower rates of high-grade AIN moving forward. To further study the therapeutic benefit, a study from 2011 randomized MSM to receive the HPV vaccine vs. placebo. They found the rates of AIN were lower in the vaccine group (13 vs. 17.5 per 100 person years), while they also had a significantly lower risk for persistent HPV infection following vaccination. The benefits of these results seen in MSM can also be applied to heterosexual men and women, given the biological similarity between anal cancer in men and women and the same pathogenesis due to HPV infection [56]. The administration of the vaccine to young people prior to sexual activity appears to provide the greatest benefit for prevention of AIN and anal cancer [57].

Concluding remarks

AIN is a premalignant lesion for anal cancer. It is more commonly found in high-risk patients (e.g., HPV/HIV
infections, post-organ transplantation patients and MSM) and the development of AIN is driven by HPV infection. There still remains significant controversy regarding screening, surveillance and treatment for AIN, but emerging data suggest that close patient follow up with a combination of ablative and topical treatments may offer the greatest benefit.
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