Can early endoscopic ultrasound predict pancreatic necrosis in acute pancreatitis?
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Abstract

Background Presence of pancreatic/extrapancreatic necrooses (PN/EPN) is an important prognostic indicator in acute pancreatitis (AP) and their early detection is a challenge. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) provides high resolution images of pancreas but there is paucity of data on its role in AP.

Methods Consecutive patients with AP seen at our center from December 2012-November 2013 and presenting within 5 days of onset of symptoms were prospectively enrolled. EUS was done on the day of admission with a radial echoendoscope and pancreatic/peripancreatic findings were compared with the abdominal computed tomography (CT) findings performed on day 7.

Results Of the 46 patients evaluated, 14 were excluded, and 32 patients (22 male; age 40.68±12.46 years) underwent EUS at admission. The etiology of AP was alcohol in 16, gallstones in 13, and idiopathic in 3 patients. Necrotizing pancreatitis was present in 20 (62%) patients, and mean CT severity index was 6.45±2.96. In patients without PN (n=12), EUS revealed normal echo pattern in 6 patients and diffusely hyperechoic and enlarged pancreas in 6 patients. In patients with PN/EPN, EUS revealed multiple hypoechoic areas (>5 mm) in 5 patients, multiple hyperechoic areas (>5 mm) in 7 patients and mixed hypo and hyperechoic areas in 8 patients. Also, 13 of these patients had peripancreatic hypoechoic areas that correlated with EPN. Moreover, EUS detected common bile duct (CBD) stones in two patients, pleural effusion in 17 patients, and ascites in 15 patients.

Conclusion EUS done at admission can reliably detect PN and co-existent disorders like CBD stones.
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Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a complex acute inflammatory disorder of the pancreas and surrounding tissues that is usually mild in majority of the patients [1]. However, the clinical course of this disease is highly variable and in up to 20% of the patients the attack may be severe leading on to a variety of local and systemic complications, a prolonged hospital stay, and significant morbidity and mortality [1-4]. The factors that determine the severity of AP have not been completely understood, and hence it is difficult to predict the clinical course of patients with AP [1-4].

Pancreatic necrosis (PN) develops in up to 15% of patients with AP, and the patients with PN have markedly increased morbidity and mortality as compared to patients with non-necrotizing interstitial pancreatitis [5,6]. The majority of the patients who develop organ failure as well as life threatening complications of AP have necrotizing pancreatitis [7]. The increased morbidity and mortality in this subgroup of patients can be reduced by detecting the PN accurately and early in the course of the disease so that appropriate preventative measures, such as fluid resuscitation and intensive care monitoring, can be timely instituted. PN is currently detected by the loss of vascular enhancement on computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging [7]. However, on cross sectional imaging the signs of PN evolve over several days, and therefore its full extent may not be completely apparent on a contrast-enhanced CT for up to 3 days after the disease onset. Therefore, an early CT may not be able to detect PN or may underestimate its extent. There have been attempts to develop investigational modalities that may help in early detection of necrotizing pancreatitis.

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is a minimally invasive investigational modality that provides high resolution images of the pancreas. The close proximity of the transducer to the...
pancreas and absence of interfering intestinal gases results in high spatial resolution images that are superior to those provided by CT and magnetic resonance imaging [8,9]. Due to these advantages, EUS has been shown to be a useful modality for evaluation of various pancreatic disorders, such as determining the etiology of idiopathic AP and evaluation of chronic pancreatitis as well as pancreatic tumors [8-10]. However, there is paucity of data on the role of EUS in the assessment of severity of pancreatitis and detection of PN [11-14]. Also, the parenchymal features on EUS of AP especially pancreatic and extra-PN (EPN) have not been well described in the literature. As EUS provides high resolution images of the pancreas, we hypothesized that EUS may be able to detect pancreatic abnormalities earlier than the conventional cross sectional imaging like CT and we conducted this prospective study to evaluate the EUS features of both acute interstitial pancreatitis and acute necrotizing pancreatitis at admission and compare them with the radiological findings obtained on CT done on the 7th day of admission.

Patients and methods

Consecutive patients with AP seen at our unit from December 2012-November 2013 were prospectively included in the study. Patients with no contraindication to EUS and presenting to us within 5 days of onset of symptoms were enrolled. Those with coronary artery disease/pre-existing cardiac disorder or respiratory disorder, malignancy, pregnancy, underlying chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic malignancy, and patients refusing consent for participation in the study were excluded. An informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the study initiation and the study protocol was approved by the institute ethics committee. Patients were given standard medical care throughout the study period. All the participants of this study were thoroughly interviewed, and subjected to clinical and laboratory examination. Diagnosis of AP was based on suggestive clinical history (acute onset upper abdominal pain with or without radiation to back, vomiting, constipation, and obstipation), physical examination, and elevated serum amylase to greater than three times the upper limit of normal and/or positive abdominal imaging [16]. Hematological investigations, blood sugar, serum amylase, blood urea, serum creatinine, albumin, globulin, lipid profile, calcium, phosphate, arterial blood gas analysis, and liver function tests were done on the day of admission. The etiology of AP was based on the patient’s history of alcohol and drug use, biochemical investigations, transabdominal ultrasonography, and radiological findings.

All patients diagnosed with AP underwent EUS on the day of admission by either of the two experienced endosonologists (SSR, DKB) using a radial echoendoscope (EG-3670 URK radial echoendoscope, Pentax Corp., Japan or GF-UE 160 radial echoendoscope, Olympus Corp., Japan) at a frequency of 7.5 MHz. EUS was performed with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position under conscious sedation with intravenous midazolam (2.5-5 mg). The uncinate process and head of pancreas were evaluated from the duodenum, and the body and tail of the pancreas was evaluated from the stomach. On EUS the echo pattern of the pancreas was evaluated and any hyperechoic or hypoechoic foci/areas in the pancreas were sought. Presence of ascites or pleural effusion as well as any peripancreatic collection was also noted. The gallbladder as well as common bile duct (CBD) was evaluated for presence of stones and the mediastinum was evaluated for presence of lymph nodes. EUS elastography has been available to us for the last 8 months and patients with EPN seen during that period also underwent elastography.

An abdominal contrast-enhanced CT scan was performed in all the patients on day 7 of onset of symptoms, and presence of PN/EPN recorded. PN was defined as focal or diffuse areas of non-enhanced pancreatic parenchyma on contrast-enhanced CT whereas EPN was defined as extrapancreatic morphological changes more than simple fat stranding [17].

Statistical analysis

The descriptive data was presented as percentages for categorical variables and mean ± SD for quantitative variables. The patients were divided into two groups: with and without PN and the various EUS findings were compared in both groups.

Results

Of the 46 patients evaluated for enrolment in the study, 10 did not undergo EUS (severely hypoxemic: 3; hemodynamically unstable: 3; refused consent: 4) and were excluded from the study. Also, 4 more patients were excluded from the final analysis as they had underlying chronic pancreatitis. Eventually 32 patients (22 male; age 40.68±12.46 years) with AP were included in the study and underwent EUS within 24 h from admission. The etiology of AP was alcohol in 16 (50%); gallstones in 13 (41%); and idiopathic in 3 (9%) patients. On CT, PN was present in 20 (62%) patients and the mean CT severity index was 6.45±2.96. Thirteen (41%) patients with PN also had EPN, 17 (53%) patients had pleural effusion, and 15 (47%) patients had ascites. None of the patients had EPN alone.

EUS findings in patients without PN (n=12)

In patients without PN (n=12), EUS revealed normal echo pattern in 6 patients, and diffusely hyperechoic and enlarged pancreas in 6 patients, with acute peripancreatic fluid collection in two patients (Fig. 1A). Four patients with normal echo pattern had few echogenic foci without shadowing in the body of the pancreas and all these patients had alcohol related AP. The CT revealed Grades A, B, C and D pancreatitis in 2, 4, 4, and 2 patients, respectively.
EUS findings in patients with PN (n=20)

In patients with PN/EPN, EUS revealed loss of normal echo pattern of the pancreas along with the novel findings of either multiple hypoechoic or hyperechoic areas measuring more than 5 mm in diameter. Multiple hypoechoic areas alone were observed in 5 (25%) patients (Fig. 1B) whereas multiple hyperechoic areas were seen in 7 (35%) patients (Fig. 1C) with PN. Eight patients (40%) with PN had a mixed pattern with both hypo and hyperechoic areas being observed (Fig. 1D). None of these EUS findings were seen in patients with acute non-necrotizing pancreatitis. Also 13 (41%) of these patients had peripancreatic hypoechoic areas that correlated with the presence of EPN on CT (Fig. 1C, 2). EUS elastography was done in three of these patients with EPN and the EPN was found to be softer than the surrounding pancreas suggesting possibly fat necrosis (Fig. 2).

Other EUS findings

EUS detected CBD stones in two patients, pleural effusion in 17 patients and ascites in 15 patients. In one patient multiple mediastinal lymph nodes were found and eventual diagnosis of sarcoidosis-related hypercalcemic pancreatitis was made.

Discussion

PN is one of the important prognostic factors in patients with AP and early detection of acute necrotizing pancreatitis can help manage these patients better. PN currently cannot be diagnosed early in the course of illness and is currently best detected by contrast enhanced cross sectional imaging techniques like CT or magnetic resonance imaging done after 5-7 day from onset of symptoms [7,18]. As EUS provides high resolution images of the pancreas, it is possible that it may be able to detect pancreatic abnormalities earlier than the conventional cross sectional imaging like CT. In this study we have shown that EUS done on the day of admission in patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis had novel findings of either multiple hypoechoic or hyperechoic areas measuring more than 5 mm in diameter and these findings were not present in any of the patients with acute interstitial pancreatitis.

Cho et al performed EUS on the second day of admission (EUS examination time from admission, median: 26 h, range: 2-46 h) in 90 patients with AP and of these 27 (30%) had severe AP [15]. The authors in this study described a novel EUS finding of a geographical hyperechoic area (GHA) that was defined as variably sized, geographically shaped, with focal heterogenous hyperechoic portions and hypoechoic rim as compared to

Figure 1 Endoscopic ultrasound in (A) acute interstitial pancreatitis: Diffusely increased echogenicity. (B) Necrotizing pancreatitis: Multiple hypoechoic areas (arrows). (C) Necrotizing pancreatitis: Multiple hyperechoic areas (bold arrows). Peripancreatic echogenicity suggestive of extrapancreatic necrosis also seen (open arrows). (D) Necrotizing pancreatitis: Mixed hyperechoic (black arrows) and hypoechoic areas (white arrows)
found that EUS could differentiate edematous and necrotizing edema observed in 7 (35%) of our patients with PN [19]. They also found that EUS was able to detect pancreatic structural abnormalities such as pancreas divisum. In our study, EUS was able to detect CBD stones in two patients and multiple mediastinal lymph nodes in one patient and these findings were not detected on previous imaging modalities.

In conclusion, patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis have multiple parenchymal hypoechoic or hyperechoic areas in the pancreas on EUS. EUS done at admission can reliably detect necrotic areas earlier than other cross sectional imaging modalities. Further studies with large sample sizes are needed to confirm our results.

What the new findings are:

- Patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis have multiple parenchymal hypoechoic or hyperechoic areas on endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)
- EUS done at admission can reliably detect pancreatic necrosis earlier than other cross-sectional imaging modalities
- PN is currently detected by the loss of vascular enhancement on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging
- However, on cross-sectional imaging the signs of PN evolve over several days

Summary Box

What is already known:
- Pancreatic necrosis (PN) may develop in up to 15% of patients with acute pancreatitis and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality
- PN is currently detected by the loss of vascular enhancement on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging
- However, on cross-sectional imaging the signs of PN evolve over several days

What the new findings are:
- Patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis have multiple parenchymal hypoechoic or hyperechoic areas in the pancreas on EUS
- EUS done at admission can reliably detect pancreatic necrosis earlier than other cross-sectional imaging modalities

References

6. Muddana V, Whitcomb DC, Khalid A, Slivka A, Papachristou GI. Elevated serum creatinine as a marker of pancreatic necrosis in...